

Didier Auroux¹, Jacques Blum¹, Maëlle Nodet²

¹ Laboratoire Jean-Alexandre Dieudonné
& INRIA Sophia Antipolis Méditerranée (CASTOR)
Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis
auroux@unice.fr ; jblum@unice.fr

² Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann
& INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes (MOISE)
Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1
maelle.nodet@inria.fr



Une version diffusive du nudging direct et rétrograde pour l'assimilation de données

1. Back and forth nudging algorithm
2. Diffusive BFN algorithm

Forward nudging

Let us consider a model governed by a system of ODE :

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X), \quad 0 < t < T,$$

with an initial condition $X(0) = x_0$.

$Y_{obs}(t)$: observations of the system

H : observation operator.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = F(X) + K(Y_{obs} - H(X)), & 0 < t < T, \\ X(0) = X_0, \end{cases}$$

where K is the nudging (or gain) matrix.

In the linear case (where F is a matrix), the forward nudging is called **Luenberger** or asymptotic observer.

- Meteorology : Hoke-Anthes (1976)
- Oceanography (QG model) : De Mey et al. (1987), Verron-Holland (1989)
- Atmosphere (meso-scale) : Stauffer-Seaman (1990)
- Optimal determination of the nudging coefficients :
 - Zou-Navon-Le Dimet (1992), Stauffer-Bao (1993),
 - Vidard-Le Dimet-Piacentini (2003)
 - Lakshmivarahan-Lewis (2011)

Luenberger observer, or asymptotic observer

(Luenberger, 1966)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX_{true}}{dt} = FX_{true}, & Y_{obs} = HX_{true}, \\ \frac{dX}{dt} = FX + K(Y_{obs} - HX). \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(X - X_{true}) = (F - KH)(X - X_{true})$$

If $F - KH$ is a Hurwitz matrix, i.e. its spectrum is strictly included in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0\}$, then $X \rightarrow X_{true}$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

How to recover the initial state from the final solution ?

Backward model :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{X}}{dt} = F(\tilde{X}), & T > t > 0, \\ \tilde{X}(T) = \tilde{X}_T. \end{cases}$$

If we apply nudging to this backward model :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{X}}{dt} = F(\tilde{X}) - K(Y_{obs} - H\tilde{X}), & T > t > 0, \\ \tilde{X}(T) = \tilde{X}_T. \end{cases}$$

Iterative algorithm (forward and backward resolutions) :

$$\tilde{X}_0(0) = X_b \text{ (first guess)}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dX_k}{dt} = F(X_k) + K(Y_{obs} - H(X_k)) \\ X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(0) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\tilde{X}_k}{dt} = F(\tilde{X}_k) - K'(Y_{obs} - H(\tilde{X}_k)) \\ \tilde{X}_k(T) = X_k(T) \end{array} \right.$$

[Auroux - Blum, C. R. Acad. Sci. Math. 2005]

If X_k and \tilde{X}_k converge towards the same limit X , and if $K = K'$, then X satisfies the state equation and fits to the observations.

Implicit discretization of the direct model equation with nudging :

$$\frac{X^{n+1} - X^n}{\Delta t} = F X^{n+1} + K(Y_{obs} - H X^{n+1}).$$

Variational interpretation : direct nudging is a compromise between the minimization of the **energy of the system** and the quadratic **distance to the observations** :

$$\min_X \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle X - X^n, X - X^n \rangle - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle F X, X \rangle + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle R^{-1} (Y_{obs} - H X), Y_{obs} - H X \rangle \right],$$

by choosing

$$K = k H^T R^{-1}$$

where R is the covariance matrix of the errors of observation, and k is a scalar.

[Auroux-Blum, Nonlin. Proc. Geophys. 2008]

The feedback term has a double role :

- **stabilization** of the backward resolution of the model (irreversible system)
- **feedback to the observations**

If the system is observable, i.e. $\text{rank}[H, HF, \dots, HF^{N-1}] = N$, then there exists a matrix K' such that $-F - K'H$ is a Hurwitz matrix (**pole assignment method**).

Simpler solution : one can define $K' = k'H^T R^{-1}$, where k' is e.g. the smallest value making the backward numerical integration stable.

Viscous linear transport equation :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \partial_{xx} u + a(x) \partial_x u = -K(u - u_{obs}), & u(x, t = 0) = u_0(x) \\ \partial_t \tilde{u} - \nu \partial_{xx} \tilde{u} + a(x) \partial_x \tilde{u} = K'(\tilde{u} - u_{obs}), & \tilde{u}(x, t = T) = u_T(x) \end{cases}$$

We set $w(t) = u(t) - u_{obs}(t)$ and $\tilde{w}(t) = \tilde{u}(t) - u_{obs}(t)$ the errors.

- If K and K' are **constant**, then $\forall t \in [0, T] : \tilde{w}(t) = e^{(-K-K')(T-t)} w(t)$
(still true if the observation period does not cover $[0, T]$)
- If the domain is not fully observed, then the problem is **ill-posed**.

Error after k iterations : $w_k(0) = e^{-[(K+K')kT]} w_0(0)$

\rightsquigarrow **exponential** decrease of the error, thanks to :

- $K + K'$: infinite feedback to the observations (not physical)
- T : asymptotic observer (Luenberger)
- k : infinite number of iterations (BFN)

[Auroux-Nodet, COCV 2012]

$$\partial_t u - (f + \zeta)v + \partial_x B = \frac{\tau_x}{\rho_0 h} - ru + \nu \Delta u$$

$$\partial_t v + (f + \zeta)u + \partial_y B = \frac{\tau_y}{\rho_0 h} - rv + \nu \Delta v$$

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x(hu) + \partial_y(hv) = 0$$

- $\zeta = \partial_x v - \partial_y u$ is the relative vorticity ;
- $B = g^* h + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2)$ is the Bernoulli potential ;
- $g^* = 0.02 \text{ m.s}^{-2}$ is the reduced gravity ;
- $f = f_0 + \beta y$ is the Coriolis parameter (in the β -plane approximation), with $f_0 = 7.10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\beta = 2.10^{-11} \text{ m}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$;
- $\tau = (\tau_x, \tau_y)$ is the forcing term of the model (e.g. the wind stress), with a maximum amplitude of $\tau_0 = 0.05 \text{ s}^{-2}$;
- $\rho_0 = 10^3 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$ is the water density ;
- $r = 9.10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the friction coefficient.
- $\nu = 5 \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$ is the viscosity (or dissipation) coefficient.

2D shallow water model, state = height h and horizontal velocity (u, v)

Numerical parameters : (run example)




Domain : $L = 2000 \text{ km} \times 2000 \text{ km}$; Rigid boundary and no-slip BC; Time step = 1800 s; Assimilation period : 15 days; Forecast period : 15 + 45 days

Observations : of h only (\sim satellite obs), every 5 gridpoints in each space direction, every 24 hours.

Background : true state one month before the beginning of the assimilation period + white gaussian noise ($\sim 10\%$)

Comparison BFN - 4DVAR : sea height h ; velocity : u and v .


Convergence - perfect obs.



Relative difference between the BFN iterates (5 first iterations) and the true solution versus the time steps, for h , u and v .

[Auroux, Int. J. Num. Meth. Fluids 2009]


Comparison - noisy obs.



Top : identified initial condition after 5 iterations of BFN and 4D-VAR.


Bottom : true initial condition and background state.

Comparison - noisy obs.



Corresponding states at the end of the forecast period (45 days) : BFN, 4D-VAR, true, background.

BFN-preprocessed 4D-VAR




Relative difference between the true solution and the forecast trajectory corresponding to the BFN, 4D-VAR and BFN-preprocessed 4D-VAR identified initial conditions, vs time, for the height variable in the case of noisy observations.

Reconstruction of the initial state of a system described by the wave equation, with velocity observation on a part of the boundary :

Back and Forth Nudging (and more generally Back and Forth Filtering)

[Ramdani et al, Automatica 2010 ; Haine, PhD 2012 ; Marinesque, PhD 2012]



Example of reconstruction in thermoacoustic tomography with noisy and incomplete data : BFN without and with attenuation [Marinesque, 2012].

Backward model and diffusion :

The main issue of the BFN is : how to handle diffusion processes in the backward equation ?

Let us consider only diffusion : heat equation (in 1D)

$$\partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u$$

The backward nudging model will be :

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} = \partial_{xx} \tilde{u} + K(\tilde{u} - u_{obs})$$

from time T to 0. By using a change of variable $t' = T - t$, we can rewrite the backward model as a forward one :

$$\partial_{t'} \tilde{u} = -\partial_{xx} \tilde{u} - K(\tilde{u} - u_{obs}),$$

and we can see that even if the nudging term stabilizes the model, the backward diffusion is a real issue.

Numerically, one can solve the backward diffusion equation (with nudging), as the eigenvalues of the discrete Laplacian are bounded, but all eigenvalues are positive, and shifting all the spectrum is not very physical.

From a theoretical point of view, the spectrum of Δ is included in \mathbb{R}^- and once again, the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ are all positive, and unbounded. Even if the original function has no high frequencies, the correction term (and numerical approximations) will ensure the presence of high frequencies \Rightarrow (positive) exponential divergence in time (with high coefficients!).

\Rightarrow big issue ?

Diffusion problem

Hopefully, in geophysical problems, diffusion is not a dominant term. The model has smoothing properties, and diffusion is small \rightarrow diffusion processes are not highly unstable in backward mode, even if the model is clearly unstable without nudging.

Theoretically, there is a problem :

- **Viscous linear transport equation** : if the support of K is a strict sub-domain (i.e. some parts of the space domain are not observed), there **does not exist a solution** to the backward model, even in the distribution sense.
- **Viscous Burgers equation** : even if K is constant (in time and space \Rightarrow full observations), the backward equation is **ill-posed**, as there is no stability (or continuity) with respect to the initial condition.

Without viscosity, one can prove the **convergence** of the BFN on these equations. [Auroux-Nodet, COCV 2012]

1. Back and forth nudging algorithm

⇒ 2. Diffusive BFN algorithm

Diffusive free equations in the geophysical context :

In meteorology or oceanography, theoretical equations are usually **diffusive free** (e.g. Euler's equation for meteorological processes).

In a numerical framework, a diffusive term is added to the equations (or a diffusive scheme is used), in order to both **stabilize the numerical integration** of the equations, and take into consideration some **subscale phenomena**.

Example : weather forecast is done with Euler's equation (at least in Météo France...), which is diffusive free. Also, in quasi-geostrophic ocean models, people usually consider ∇^4 or ∇^6 for dissipation at the bottom, or for vertical mixing.

Standard BFN algorithm :

Original model :

$$\partial_t X = F(X), \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Corresponding BFN algorithm :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X_k = F(X_k) + K(Y_{obs} - H(X_k)), \\ X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(0), \quad 0 < t < T, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{X}_k = F(\tilde{X}_k) - K'(Y_{obs} - H(\tilde{X}_k)), \\ \tilde{X}_k(T) = X_k(T), \quad T > t > 0, \end{cases}$$

with the notation $\tilde{X}_0(0) = x_0$.

Addition of a diffusion term :

$$\partial_t X = F(X) + \nu \Delta X, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where F has no diffusive terms, ν is the diffusion coefficient, and we assume that the diffusion is a standard second-order Laplacian (could be a higher order operator).

We introduce the D-BFN algorithm in this framework, for $k \geq 1$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t X_k = F(X_k) + \nu \Delta X_k + K(Y_{obs} - H(X_k)), \\ X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(0), \quad 0 < t < T, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \tilde{X}_k = F(\tilde{X}_k) - \nu \Delta \tilde{X}_k - K'(Y_{obs} - H(\tilde{X}_k)), \\ \tilde{X}_k(T) = X_k(T), \quad T > t > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

It is straightforward to see that the backward equation can be rewritten, using $t' = T - t$:

$$\partial_{t'} \tilde{X}_k = -F(\tilde{X}_k) + \nu \Delta \tilde{X}_k + K'(Y_{obs} - H(\tilde{X}_k)), \quad \tilde{X}_k(t' = 0) = X_k(T),$$

where \tilde{X} is evaluated at time t' . As it is now forward in time, this equation can be compared with the forward nudging equation :

$$\partial_t X_k = F(X_k) + \nu \Delta X_k + K(Y_{obs} - H(X_k)), \quad X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(t' = T).$$

Then the backward equation can easily be solved, with an initial condition, and the same diffusion operator as in the forward equation. Only the physical model has an opposite sign.

The diffusion term both takes into account the subscale processes and stabilizes the numerical backward integrations, and the feedback term still controls the trajectory with the observations.

$$\partial_t u + a(x) \partial_x u = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(t=0) = u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$$

with periodic boundary conditions, and we assume that $a \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$.

Numerically, for both stability and subscale modelling, the following equation would be solved :

$$\partial_t u + a(x) \partial_x u = \nu \partial_{xx} u, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(t=0) = u_0 \in L^2(\Omega),$$

where $\nu \geq 0$ is assumed to be constant.

Linear transport equation

Let us assume that the observations satisfy the physical model (without diffusion) :

$$\partial_t u_{obs} + a(x) \partial_x u_{obs} = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \Omega, \quad u_{obs}(t = 0) = u_{obs}^0 \in L^2(\Omega).$$

We assume in this idealized situation that the system is fully observed (and H is then the identity operator).

Then the D-BFN algorithm applied to this problem gives, for $k \geq 1$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u_k + a(x) \partial_x u_k = \nu \partial_{xx} u_k + K(u_{obs,k} - u_k), \\ t \in [2(k-1)T, 2(k-1)T + T], x \in \Omega \\ u_k(2(k-1)T, x) = \tilde{u}_{k-1}(2(k-1)T, x) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \tilde{u}_k - a(x) \partial_x \tilde{u}_k = \nu \partial_{xx} \tilde{u}_k + K(\tilde{u}_{obs,k} - \tilde{u}_k), \\ t \in [2kT - T, 2kT], x \in \Omega \\ \tilde{u}_k(2kT - T, x) = u_k(2kT - T, x). \end{array} \right.$$

At the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, v_k and \tilde{v}_k tend to $v_\infty(x)$ solution of

$$\nu \partial_{xx} v_\infty + K(u_{obs}^0(x) - v_\infty) = 0,$$


or equivalently

$$-\frac{\nu}{K} \partial_{xx} v_\infty + v_\infty = u_{obs}^0.$$

This equations is well known in signal or image processing, as being the standard linear diffusion restoration equation. In some sense, v_∞ is the result of a smoothing process on the observations u_{obs} , where the degree of smoothness is given by the ratio $\frac{\nu}{K}$.



Convergence result for constant advection equation.

[Auroux-Blum-Nodet, CRAS 2012]




Initial condition of the observation
 and corresponding smoothed solu-
 tion; RMS difference between the
 BFN iterates and the smoothed ob-
 servations; same in semi-log scale.

Movie



Linear transport equation with non-constant transport :



Movie

1D inviscid Burgers equation :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} = 0,$$

with a given initial condition $u(x, 0)$ and periodic boundary conditions.

Diffusive BFN :


$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_k^2}{\partial x} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x^2} + K(u_{obs} - H(u_k)), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < x < L, \\ u_k(x, 0) = \tilde{u}_{k-1}(x, 0), \quad 0 < x < L, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k^2}{\partial x} = -\nu \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_k}{\partial x^2} - K'(u_{obs} - H(\tilde{u}_k)), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < x < L, \\ \tilde{u}_k(x, T) = u_k(x, T), \quad 0 < x < L. \end{array} \right.$$

[Auroux-Bansart-Blum, Inv. Pbs Sci. Engin. 2012]

Numerical experiments

Inviscid Burgers equation : creation of shocks in finite time




Comparison with a variational method :

		$n_x = 4$ $n_t = 4$ unnoisy	$n_x = 10$ $n_t = 10$ unnoisy	$n_x = 10$ $n_t = 10$ noisy (15%)
VAR	Number of iterations	18	20	15
	Relative RMS (%)	0.49	1.64	10.74
BFN2	Number of iterations	2	2	2
	Relative RMS (%)	0.34	0.69	3.50
		$(K = 30, K' = 60)$	$(K = 40, K' = 80)$	$(K = 10, K' = 20)$

Comparison between D-BFN and variational algorithms in the case of sparse and noisy observations on Burgers' equation with shock.

Numerical experiments



Forecast error (difference between the true trajectory and the solutions of the direct model initialized with the identified solutions) for D-BFN and VAR algorithms, with sparse ($n_x = 4 = n_t$) and noisy observations (15% noise).

Back and Forth Nudging algorithm :

- Easy implementation (no linearization, no adjoint state, no minimization process)
- Very efficient in the first iterations (faster convergence)
- Lower computational and memory costs than other DA methods
- Stabilization of the backward model
- Excellent preconditioner for 4D-VAR (or Kalman filters)

Diffusive BFN algorithm :

- Converges even faster, with smaller backward nudging coefficients
- Still produces very precise forecasts
- ...